

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/872,989	BIEDERMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ramy M. Osman	2157	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Claims 1-39 are pending

(1) Ramy M. Osman.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Desmond Gean (52,937).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 March 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-39

Prior art documents discussed:

*Ketcham (US Patent No 6,721,334)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Attorney Gean that the application would be allowable if the limitations of dependent claim 10 was rewritten into all of the independent claims. This expresses the novelty of the invention and overcomes the prior art of record. Also, Examiner informed Attorney Gean that claim 33 contains non-statutory subject matter and should be amended to contain a physical implementation in the preamble. Attorney Gean agreed to the Examiners recommendations and authorized an Examiners Amendment to reflect the discussed allowable features..